



**COURSE/INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION
CREATIVE TECHNOLOGIES – STUDIO COURSES**

The purpose of this evaluation is to help instructors assess the effectiveness of their courses and to assist the Faculty of Media, Art, and Performance in making judgments and recommendations concerning career progress (eg. tenure, promotions, career growth and merit increments). The summary of and comments from these evaluations are examined by the instructor, Department Head, Dean, and Faculty Performance Review Committee.

The evaluation is anonymous and will only be made available to the instructor **after** grades have been assigned at the end of the course. If you are concerned that the instructor may recognize your handwriting, we suggest that you print your comments.

All questions are to be answered independently of consultation with others. Student comments prepared in advance of the in-class evaluation process may not be included or attached to the evaluation form.

INSTRUCTOR'S NAME: Charity Marsh DATE: April 6
COURSE NAME AND NUMBER: CT Hip Hop 205 SECTION NUMBER: 001

State the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. If the statement does not apply, leave the answer sheet blank.

	Disagree Strongly		3	4	Agree Strongly
	1	2			5
1. The course material was well planned and followed an orderly sequence.	1	2	3	4	5
2. The course objectives were clearly stated in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5
3. The actual course content and requirements corresponded to the description in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5
4. The method of grading the course was made clear.	1	2	3	4	5
5. The workload in this course was appropriate to its level.	1	2	3	4	5
6. The marking of papers, tests and assignments was just and equitable.	1	2	3	4	5
7. Assignments were marked and returned in reasonable time for a class of this size.	1	2	3	4	5
8. The instructor provided valuable written assessments of assignments.	1	2	3	4	5

- | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 9. The instructor was available for consultation outside of class time. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 10. The instructor treated students with respect and without prejudice. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 11. The instructor appeared to have a very good command of the subject matter. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 12. The instructor was well prepared for each class. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 13. The instructor was punctual. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 14. The instructor rarely cancelled classes. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 15. The instructor communicated clearly. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 16. The instructor answered students' questions with clarity and precision. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 17. The instructor asked thought-provoking questions. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 18. The instructor was receptive to differences of opinion. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 19. Class discussion was effective and useful. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 20. The assigned text(s) and readings were valuable in contributing to learning in this course. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 21. What is your overall rating of the instructor's ability to present a stimulating class? | | | | | |

Poor
1

2

Average
3

4

Excellent
5

22. Additional comments about the instructor and/or the course:

Wn't stop book, should have 3 weeks to read,
So dense. More videos to watch even instead
or in conjunction with readings.

Loved the course!

**COURSE/INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION
CREATIVE TECHNOLOGIES – STUDIO COURSES**

The purpose of this evaluation is to help instructors assess the effectiveness of their courses and to assist the Faculty of Media, Art, and Performance in making judgments and recommendations concerning career progress (eg. tenure, promotions, career growth and merit increments). The summary of and comments from these evaluations are examined by the instructor, Department Head, Dean, and Faculty Performance Review Committee.

The evaluation is anonymous and will only be made available to the instructor **after** grades have been assigned at the end of the course. If you are concerned that the instructor may recognize your handwriting, we suggest that you print your comments.

All questions are to be answered independently of consultation with others. Student comments prepared in advance of the in-class evaluation process may not be included or attached to the evaluation form.

INSTRUCTOR'S NAME: Charity Marsh DATE: April 6th 2018
COURSE NAME AND NUMBER CTCH ~~200~~ 205 SECTION NUMBER _____

State the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. If the statement does not apply, leave the answer sheet blank.

	Disagree Strongly		3	4	Agree Strongly	
	1	2			5	
1. The course material was well planned and followed an orderly sequence.	1	2	3	4	5	
2. The course objectives were clearly stated in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5	
3. The actual course content and requirements corresponded to the description in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5	
4. The method of grading the course was made clear.	1	2	3	4	5	
5. The workload in this course was appropriate to its level.	1	2	3	4	5	
6. The marking of papers, tests and assignments was just and equitable.	1	2	3	4	5	
7. Assignments were marked and returned in reasonable time for a class of this size.	1	2	3	4	5	
8. The instructor provided valuable written assessments of assignments.	1	2	3	4	5	

- | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 9. The instructor was available for consultation outside of class time. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 10. The instructor treated students with respect and without prejudice. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 11. The instructor appeared to have a very good command of the subject matter. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 12. The instructor was well prepared for each class. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 13. The instructor was punctual. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 14. The instructor rarely cancelled classes. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 15. The instructor communicated clearly. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 16. The instructor answered students' questions with clarity and precision. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 17. The instructor asked thought-provoking questions. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 18. The instructor was receptive to differences of opinion. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 19. Class discussion was effective and useful. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 20. The assigned text(s) and readings were valuable in contributing to learning in this course. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

21. What is your overall rating of the instructor's ability to present a stimulating class?

Poor
1

2

Average
3

4

Excellent
5

22. Additional comments about the instructor and/or the course:

- little too much readings... include textbooks and extra pdfs

**COURSE/INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION
CREATIVE TECHNOLOGIES – STUDIO COURSES**

The purpose of this evaluation is to help instructors assess the effectiveness of their courses and to assist the Faculty of Media, Art, and Performance in making judgments and recommendations concerning career progress (eg. tenure, promotions, career growth and merit increments). The summary of and comments from these evaluations are examined by the instructor, Department Head, Dean, and Faculty Performance Review Committee.

The evaluation is anonymous and will only be made available to the instructor **after** grades have been assigned at the end of the course. If you are concerned that the instructor may recognize your handwriting, we suggest that you print your comments.

All questions are to be answered independently of consultation with others. Student comments prepared in advance of the in-class evaluation process may not be included or attached to the evaluation form.

INSTRUCTOR'S NAME: Charity M. DATE: April 6th 2018

COURSE NAME AND NUMBER CTCH 205 Hip-Hop culture SECTION NUMBER _____

State the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. If the statement does not apply, leave the answer sheet blank.

	Disagree Strongly		3	4	Agree Strongly
	1	2			
1. The course material was well planned and followed an orderly sequence.	1	2	3	4	5
2. The course objectives were clearly stated in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5
3. The actual course content and requirements corresponded to the description in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5
4. The method of grading the course was made clear.	1	2	3	4	5
5. The workload in this course was appropriate to its level.	1	2	3	4	5
6. The marking of papers, tests and assignments was just and equitable.	1	2	3	4	5
7. Assignments were marked and returned in reasonable time for a class of this size.	1	2	3	4	5
8. The instructor provided valuable written assessments of assignments.	1	2	3	4	5

- | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|--------------|
| 9. The instructor was available for consultation outside of class time. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 10. The instructor treated students with respect and without prejudice. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 11. The instructor appeared to have a very good command of the subject matter. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 12. The instructor was well prepared for each class. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 13. The instructor was punctual. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 14. The instructor rarely cancelled classes. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 15. The instructor communicated clearly. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 16. The instructor answered students' questions with clarity and precision. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 17. The instructor asked thought-provoking questions. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 18. The instructor was receptive to differences of opinion. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 19. Class discussion was effective and useful. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 20. The assigned text(s) and readings were valuable in contributing to learning in this course. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 21. What is your overall rating of the instructor's ability to present a stimulating class? | | | | | |

Poor		Average		Excellent
1	2	3	4	5

22. Additional comments about the instructor and/or the course:

Great class, teaches us about society, politics, art and history. Would be great to have other courses focused on how to use the artistic tools.



COURSE/INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION CREATIVE TECHNOLOGIES – STUDIO COURSES

The purpose of this evaluation is to help instructors assess the effectiveness of their courses and to assist the Faculty of Media, Art, and Performance in making judgments and recommendations concerning career progress (eg. tenure, promotions, career growth and merit increments). The summary of and comments from these evaluations are examined by the instructor, Department Head, Dean, and Faculty Performance Review Committee.

The evaluation is anonymous and will only be made available to the instructor **after** grades have been assigned at the end of the course. If you are concerned that the instructor may recognize your handwriting, we suggest that you print your comments.

All questions are to be answered independently of consultation with others. Student comments prepared in advance of the in-class evaluation process may not be included or attached to the evaluation form.

INSTRUCTOR'S NAME: Charity Marsh DATE: April 6
COURSE NAME AND NUMBER: CCTH SECTION NUMBER: 001

State the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. If the statement does not apply, leave the answer sheet blank.

	Disagree Strongly		3	4	Agree Strongly
	1	2			5
1. The course material was well planned and followed an orderly sequence.	1	2	3	4	5
2. The course objectives were clearly stated in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5
3. The actual course content and requirements corresponded to the description in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5
4. The method of grading the course was made clear.	1	2	3	4	5
5. The workload in this course was appropriate to its level.	1	2	3	4	5
6. The marking of papers, tests and assignments was just and equitable.	1	2	3	4	5
7. Assignments were marked and returned in reasonable time for a class of this size.	1	2	3	4	5
8. The instructor provided valuable written assessments of assignments.	1	2	3	4	5

- | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 9. The instructor was available for consultation outside of class time. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 10. The instructor treated students with respect and without prejudice. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 11. The instructor appeared to have a very good command of the subject matter. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 12. The instructor was well prepared for each class. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 13. The instructor was punctual. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 14. The instructor rarely cancelled classes. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 15. The instructor communicated clearly. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 16. The instructor answered students' questions with clarity and precision. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 17. The instructor asked thought-provoking questions. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 18. The instructor was receptive to differences of opinion. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 19. Class discussion was effective and useful. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 20. The assigned text(s) and readings were valuable in contributing to learning in this course. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 21. What is your overall rating of the instructor's ability to present a stimulating class? | | | | | |

Poor
1

2

Average
3

4

Excellent
5

22. Additional comments about the instructor and/or the course:

I'd like to have more time in the
 lab and have more organized instruction in
 the labs while using the equipment.
 Excellent lectures & class discussion.

**COURSE/INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION
CREATIVE TECHNOLOGIES – STUDIO COURSES**

The purpose of this evaluation is to help instructors assess the effectiveness of their courses and to assist the Faculty of Media, Art, and Performance in making judgments and recommendations concerning career progress (eg. tenure, promotions, career growth and merit increments). The summary of and comments from these evaluations are examined by the instructor, Department Head, Dean, and Faculty Performance Review Committee.

The evaluation is anonymous and will only be made available to the instructor **after** grades have been assigned at the end of the course. If you are concerned that the instructor may recognize your handwriting, we suggest that you print your comments.

All questions are to be answered independently of consultation with others. Student comments prepared in advance of the in-class evaluation process may not be included or attached to the evaluation form.

INSTRUCTOR'S NAME: Charity Marsh DATE: April 6th, 2018
COURSE NAME AND NUMBER: 205 CTCH Hip Hop SECTION NUMBER: 001

State the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. If the statement does not apply, leave the answer sheet blank.

	Disagree Strongly		3	4	Agree Strongly	
	1	2			5	
1. The course material was well planned and followed an orderly sequence.	1	2	3	4	5	
2. The course objectives were clearly stated in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5	
3. The actual course content and requirements corresponded to the description in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5	
4. The method of grading the course was made clear.	1	2	3	4	5	
5. The workload in this course was appropriate to its level.	1	2	3	4	5	
6. The marking of papers, tests and assignments was just and equitable.	1	2	3	4	5	
7. Assignments were marked and returned in reasonable time for a class of this size.	1	2	3	4	5	
8. The instructor provided valuable written assessments of assignments.	1	2	3	4	5	

- | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 9. The instructor was available for consultation outside of class time. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 10. The instructor treated students with respect and without prejudice. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 11. The instructor appeared to have a very good command of the subject matter. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 12. The instructor was well prepared for each class. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 13. The instructor was punctual. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 14. The instructor rarely cancelled classes. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 15. The instructor communicated clearly. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 16. The instructor answered students' questions with clarity and precision. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 17. The instructor asked thought-provoking questions. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 18. The instructor was receptive to differences of opinion. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 19. Class discussion was effective and useful. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 20. The assigned text(s) and readings were valuable in contributing to learning in this course. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 21. What is your overall rating of the instructor's ability to present a stimulating class? | | | | | |

Poor
1

2

Average
3

4

Excellent
5

22. Additional comments about the instructor and/or the course:

As always this class was great in covering a subject matter that is often overlooked. Charis is a great teacher first who knows the content. Love the freedom to doubt & ask while also making us connect with cont. A great des-

**COURSE/INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION
CREATIVE TECHNOLOGIES – STUDIO COURSES**

The purpose of this evaluation is to help instructors assess the effectiveness of their courses and to assist the Faculty of Media, Art, and Performance in making judgments and recommendations concerning career progress (eg. tenure, promotions, career growth and merit increments). The summary of and comments from these evaluations are examined by the instructor, Department Head, Dean, and Faculty Performance Review Committee.

The evaluation is anonymous and will only be made available to the instructor **after** grades have been assigned at the end of the course. If you are concerned that the instructor may recognize your handwriting, we suggest that you print your comments.

All questions are to be answered independently of consultation with others. Student comments prepared in advance of the in-class evaluation process may not be included or attached to the evaluation form.

INSTRUCTOR'S NAME: Charity Marsh DATE: April 6th / 2018
COURSE NAME AND NUMBER: CTCH 205 SECTION NUMBER: _____

State the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. If the statement does not apply, leave the answer sheet blank.

	Disagree Strongly		3	4	Agree Strongly
	1	2			
1. The course material was well planned and followed an orderly sequence.	1	2	3	4	5
2. The course objectives were clearly stated in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5
3. The actual course content and requirements corresponded to the description in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5
4. The method of grading the course was made clear.	1	2	3	4	5
5. The workload in this course was appropriate to its level.	1	2	3	4	5
6. The marking of papers, tests and assignments was just and equitable.	1	2	3	4	5
7. Assignments were marked and returned in reasonable time for a class of this size.	1	2	3	4	5
8. The instructor provided valuable written assessments of assignments.	1	2	3	4	5

- | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 9. The instructor was available for consultation outside of class time. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 10. The instructor treated students with respect and without prejudice. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 11. The instructor appeared to have a very good command of the subject matter. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 12. The instructor was well prepared for each class. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 13. The instructor was punctual. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 14. The instructor rarely cancelled classes. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 15. The instructor communicated clearly. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 16. The instructor answered students' questions with clarity and precision. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 17. The instructor asked thought-provoking questions. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 18. The instructor was receptive to differences of opinion. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 19. Class discussion was effective and useful. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 20. The assigned text(s) and readings were valuable in contributing to learning in this course. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 21. What is your overall rating of the instructor's ability to present a stimulating class? | | | | | |

Poor
1

2

Average
3

4

Excellent
5

22. Additional comments about the instructor and/or the course:

Great course, the guest speakers were an awesome addition, would love if those kept happening. Only concern, it you could even call it that, was a continually felt out of my depth in the imp labs and studio portions. I know those two guys were around to help, but we're all beginners and I often felt bad constantly needing help. Maybe more hands on teaching in the labs? That's it though!



COURSE/INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION CREATIVE TECHNOLOGIES – STUDIO COURSES

The purpose of this evaluation is to help instructors assess the effectiveness of their courses and to assist the Faculty of Media, Art, and Performance in making judgments and recommendations concerning career progress (eg. tenure, promotions, career growth and merit increments). The summary of and comments from these evaluations are examined by the instructor, Department Head, Dean, and Faculty Performance Review Committee.

The evaluation is anonymous and will only be made available to the instructor **after** grades have been assigned at the end of the course. If you are concerned that the instructor may recognize your handwriting, we suggest that you print your comments.

All questions are to be answered independently of consultation with others. Student comments prepared in advance of the in-class evaluation process may not be included or attached to the evaluation form.

INSTRUCTOR'S NAME: Charity Margh DATE: April 6th 2018
 COURSE NAME AND NUMBER: GTCH 205 SECTION NUMBER: _____

State the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. If the statement does not apply, leave the answer sheet blank.

	Disagree Strongly		3	4	Agree Strongly
	1	2			
1. The course material was well planned and followed an orderly sequence.	1	2	3	4	5
2. The course objectives were clearly stated in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5
3. The actual course content and requirements corresponded to the description in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5
4. The method of grading the course was made clear.	1	2	3	4	5
5. The workload in this course was appropriate to its level.	1	2	3	4	5
6. The marking of papers, tests and assignments was just and equitable.	1	2	3	4	5
7. Assignments were marked and returned in reasonable time for a class of this size.	1	2	3	4	5
8. The instructor provided valuable written assessments of assignments.	1	2	3	4	5

9. The instructor was available for consultation outside of class time. 1 2 3 4 5
10. The instructor treated students with respect and without prejudice. 1 2 3 4 5
11. The instructor appeared to have a very good command of the subject matter. 1 2 3 4 5
12. The instructor was well prepared for each class. 1 2 3 4 5
13. The instructor was punctual. 1 2 3 4 5
14. The instructor rarely cancelled classes. 1 2 3 4 5
15. The instructor communicated clearly. 1 2 3 4 5
16. The instructor answered students' questions with clarity and precision. 1 2 3 4 5
17. The instructor asked thought-provoking questions. 1 2 3 4 5
18. The instructor was receptive to differences of opinion. 1 2 3 4 5
19. Class discussion was effective and useful. 1 2 3 4 5
20. The assigned text(s) and readings were valuable in contributing to learning in this course. 1 2 3 4 5
21. What is your overall rating of the instructor's ability to present a stimulating class?

Poor
1

2

Average
3

4

Excellent
5

22. Additional comments about the instructor and/or the course:

Break Dancing was super fun, you should do that again.

More practice with Graffiti would be great too

**COURSE/INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION
CREATIVE TECHNOLOGIES – STUDIO COURSES**

The purpose of this evaluation is to help instructors assess the effectiveness of their courses and to assist the Faculty of Media, Art, and Performance in making judgments and recommendations concerning career progress (eg. tenure, promotions, career growth and merit increments). The summary of and comments from these evaluations are examined by the instructor, Department Head, Dean, and Faculty Performance Review Committee.

The evaluation is anonymous and will only be made available to the instructor **after** grades have been assigned at the end of the course. If you are concerned that the instructor may recognize your handwriting, we suggest that you print your comments.

All questions are to be answered independently of consultation with others. Student comments prepared in advance of the in-class evaluation process may not be included or attached to the evaluation form.

INSTRUCTOR'S NAME: Charity Marsh DATE: 4-06-18
COURSE NAME AND NUMBER: CTCH 205 SECTION NUMBER: _____

State the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. If the statement does not apply, leave the answer sheet blank.

	Disagree Strongly		3	4	Agree Strongly	
	1	2			5	
1. The course material was well planned and followed an orderly sequence.	1	2	3	4	5	
2. The course objectives were clearly stated in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5	
3. The actual course content and requirements corresponded to the description in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5	
4. The method of grading the course was made clear.	1	2	3	4	5	
5. The workload in this course was appropriate to its level.	1	2	3	4	5	
6. The marking of papers, tests and assignments was just and equitable.	1	2	3	4	5	
7. Assignments were marked and returned in reasonable time for a class of this size.	1	2	3	4	5	
8. The instructor provided valuable written assessments of assignments.	1	2	3	4	5	

- | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|--------------|
| 9. The instructor was available for consultation outside of class time. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 10. The instructor treated students with respect and without prejudice. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 11. The instructor appeared to have a very good command of the subject matter. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 12. The instructor was well prepared for each class. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 13. The instructor was punctual. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 14. The instructor rarely cancelled classes. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 15. The instructor communicated clearly. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 16. The instructor answered students' questions with clarity and precision. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 17. The instructor asked thought-provoking questions. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 18. The instructor was receptive to differences of opinion. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 19. Class discussion was effective and useful. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 20. The assigned text(s) and readings were valuable in contributing to learning in this course. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 21. What is your overall rating of the instructor's ability to present a stimulating class? | | | | | |

Poor
1

2

Average
3

4

Excellent
5

22. Additional comments about the instructor and/or the course:

~~What~~ Wish There were more examples for the Lab presentation so we can have a better understanding.

More Lab and I M P Times. ~~at~~

**COURSE/INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION
CREATIVE TECHNOLOGIES – STUDIO COURSES**

The purpose of this evaluation is to help instructors assess the effectiveness of their courses and to assist the Faculty of Media, Art, and Performance in making judgments and recommendations concerning career progress (eg. tenure, promotions, career growth and merit increments). The summary of and comments from these evaluations are examined by the instructor, Department Head, Dean, and Faculty Performance Review Committee.

The evaluation is anonymous and will only be made available to the instructor **after** grades have been assigned at the end of the course. If you are concerned that the instructor may recognize your handwriting, we suggest that you print your comments.

All questions are to be answered independently of consultation with others. Student comments prepared in advance of the in-class evaluation process may not be included or attached to the evaluation form.

INSTRUCTOR'S NAME: Charity Marsh DATE: April 6 / 18
COURSE NAME AND NUMBER: CTCH 205 SECTION NUMBER: 001

State the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. If the statement does not apply, leave the answer sheet blank.

	Disagree Strongly		3	4	Agree Strongly	
	1	2			5	
1. The course material was well planned and followed an orderly sequence.	1	2	3	4	5	
2. The course objectives were clearly stated in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5	
3. The actual course content and requirements corresponded to the description in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5	
4. The method of grading the course was made clear.	1	2	3	4	5	
5. The workload in this course was appropriate to its level.	1	2	3	4	5	
6. The marking of papers, tests and assignments was just and equitable.	1	2	3	4	5	
7. Assignments were marked and returned in reasonable time for a class of this size.	1	2	3	4	5	
8. The instructor provided valuable written assessments of assignments.	1	2	3	4	5	

- | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 9. The instructor was available for consultation outside of class time. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 10. The instructor treated students with respect and without prejudice. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 11. The instructor appeared to have a very good command of the subject matter. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 12. The instructor was well prepared for each class. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 13. The instructor was punctual. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 14. The instructor rarely cancelled classes. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 15. The instructor communicated clearly. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 16. The instructor answered students' questions with clarity and precision. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 17. The instructor asked thought-provoking questions. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 18. The instructor was receptive to differences of opinion. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 19. Class discussion was effective and useful. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 20. The assigned text(s) and readings were valuable in contributing to learning in this course. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 21. What is your overall rating of the instructor's ability to present a stimulating class? | | | | | |

Poor
1

2

Average
3

4

Excellent
5

22. Additional comments about the instructor and/or the course:

Dr. Marsh clearly has a deep understanding of the course material and hip hop in general. I loved the guests she brought in, however I believe it would be useful for them to cater what they talk about to the class specifically, rather than, in some cases, just giving an overview of their work/background, etc. I'd love to see more courses like this.

**COURSE/INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION
CREATIVE TECHNOLOGIES – STUDIO COURSES**

The purpose of this evaluation is to help instructors assess the effectiveness of their courses and to assist the Faculty of Media, Art, and Performance in making judgments and recommendations concerning career progress (eg. tenure, promotions, career growth and merit increments). The summary of and comments from these evaluations are examined by the instructor, Department Head, Dean, and Faculty Performance Review Committee.

The evaluation is anonymous and will only be made available to the instructor **after** grades have been assigned at the end of the course. If you are concerned that the instructor may recognize your handwriting, we suggest that you print your comments.

All questions are to be answered independently of consultation with others. Student comments prepared in advance of the in-class evaluation process may not be included or attached to the evaluation form.

INSTRUCTOR'S NAME: Charity Marsh DATE: April 6, 2018
COURSE NAME AND NUMBER: CTCH 205 SECTION NUMBER: _____

State the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. If the statement does not apply, leave the answer sheet blank.

	Disagree Strongly		3	4	Agree Strongly	
	1	2			5	
1. The course material was well planned and followed an orderly sequence.	1	2	3	4	5	
2. The course objectives were clearly stated in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5	
3. The actual course content and requirements corresponded to the description in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5	
4. The method of grading the course was made clear.	1	2	3	4	5	
5. The workload in this course was appropriate to its level.	1	2	3	4	5	
6. The marking of papers, tests and assignments was just and equitable.	1	2	3	4	5	
7. Assignments were marked and returned in reasonable time for a class of this size.	1	2	3	4	5	
8. The instructor provided valuable written assessments of assignments.	1	2	3	4	5	

9. The instructor was available for consultation outside of class time. 1 2 3 4 5
10. The instructor treated students with respect and without prejudice. 1 2 3 4 5
11. The instructor appeared to have a very good command of the subject matter. 1 2 3 4 5
12. The instructor was well prepared for each class. 1 2 3 4 5
13. The instructor was punctual. 1 2 3 4 5
14. The instructor rarely cancelled classes. 1 2 3 4 5
15. The instructor communicated clearly. 1 2 3 4 5
16. The instructor answered students' questions with clarity and precision. 1 2 3 4 5
17. The instructor asked thought-provoking questions. 1 2 3 4 5
18. The instructor was receptive to differences of opinion. 1 2 3 4 5
19. Class discussion was effective and useful. 1 2 3 4 5
20. The assigned text(s) and readings were valuable in contributing to learning in this course. 1 2 3 4 5
21. What is your overall rating of the instructor's ability to present a stimulating class?

Poor
1

2

Average
3

4

Excellent
5

22. Additional comments about the instructor and/or the course:

would've been really cool to speak about

hip hop in Europe. Really liked the projects.

**COURSE/INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION
CREATIVE TECHNOLOGIES – STUDIO COURSES**

The purpose of this evaluation is to help instructors assess the effectiveness of their courses and to assist the Faculty of Media, Art, and Performance in making judgments and recommendations concerning career progress (eg. tenure, promotions, career growth and merit increments). The summary of and comments from these evaluations are examined by the instructor, Department Head, Dean, and Faculty Performance Review Committee.

The evaluation is anonymous and will only be made available to the instructor **after** grades have been assigned at the end of the course. If you are concerned that the instructor may recognize your handwriting, we suggest that you print your comments.

All questions are to be answered independently of consultation with others. Student comments prepared in advance of the in-class evaluation process may not be included or attached to the evaluation form.

INSTRUCTOR'S NAME: Charity Marsh DATE: April 6

COURSE NAME AND NUMBER CTCH 205 SECTION NUMBER _____

State the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. If the statement does not apply, leave the answer sheet blank.

	Disagree		3	4	Agree	
	Strongly	2			Strongly	5
	1	2	3	4	5	
1. The course material was well planned and followed an orderly sequence.	1	2	3	4	5	5
2. The course objectives were clearly stated in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5	4
3. The actual course content and requirements corresponded to the description in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5	5
4. The method of grading the course was made clear.	1	2	3	4	5	5
5. The workload in this course was appropriate to its level.	1	2	3	4	5	4
6. The marking of papers, tests and assignments was just and equitable.	1	2	3	4	5	5
7. Assignments were marked and returned in reasonable time for a class of this size.	1	2	3	4	5	5
8. The instructor provided valuable written assessments of assignments.	1	2	3	4	5	5

- | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 9. The instructor was available for consultation outside of class time. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | ⑤ |
| 10. The instructor treated students with respect and without prejudice. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | ⑤ |
| 11. The instructor appeared to have a very good command of the subject matter. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | ⑤ |
| 12. The instructor was well prepared for each class. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | ⑤ |
| 13. The instructor was punctual. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | ⑤ |
| 14. The instructor rarely cancelled classes. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | ⑤ |
| 15. The instructor communicated clearly. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | ⑤ |
| 16. The instructor answered students' questions with clarity and precision. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | ⑤ |
| 17. The instructor asked thought-provoking questions. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | ⑤ |
| 18. The instructor was receptive to differences of opinion. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | ⑤ |
| 19. Class discussion was effective and useful. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | ⑤ |
| 20. The assigned text(s) and readings were valuable in contributing to learning in this course. | 1 | 2 | 3 | ④ | 5 |
| 21. What is your overall rating of the instructor's ability to present a stimulating class? | | | | | |

Poor		Average		Excellent
1	2	3	4	⑤

22. Additional comments about the instructor and/or the course:

I think the course was very enlightening, especially for someone without a background in hip hop.
I feel like the history could be talked about more in-depth in class, rather than just having us
read the book (Teff'chang). During the class it didn't feel as important to complete the readings,
but that could be the result of being a bad student (lol) but I feel the readings could be reviewed
as well as reminding us about readings we should do throughout the next week.

**COURSE/INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION
CREATIVE TECHNOLOGIES – STUDIO COURSES**

The purpose of this evaluation is to help instructors assess the effectiveness of their courses and to assist the Faculty of Media, Art, and Performance in making judgments and recommendations concerning career progress (eg. tenure, promotions, career growth and merit increments). The summary of and comments from these evaluations are examined by the instructor, Department Head, Dean, and Faculty Performance Review Committee.

The evaluation is anonymous and will only be made available to the instructor **after** grades have been assigned at the end of the course. If you are concerned that the instructor may recognize your handwriting, we suggest that you print your comments.

All questions are to be answered independently of consultation with others. Student comments prepared in advance of the in-class evaluation process may not be included or attached to the evaluation form.

INSTRUCTOR'S NAME: Charity Marsh DATE: April 6, 2018
COURSE NAME AND NUMBER: CTCH 205 SECTION NUMBER: _____

State the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. If the statement does not apply, leave the answer sheet blank.

	Disagree Strongly		3	4	Agree Strongly
	1	2			5
1. The course material was well planned and followed an orderly sequence.	1	2	3	4	5
2. The course objectives were clearly stated in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5
3. The actual course content and requirements corresponded to the description in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5
4. The method of grading the course was made clear.	1	2	3	4	5
5. The workload in this course was appropriate to its level.	1	2	3	4	5
6. The marking of papers, tests and assignments was just and equitable.	1	2	3	4	5
7. Assignments were marked and returned in reasonable time for a class of this size.	1	2	3	4	5
8. The instructor provided valuable written assessments of assignments.	1	2	3	4	5

9. The instructor was available for consultation outside of class time. 1 2 3 4 5
10. The instructor treated students with respect and without prejudice. 1 2 3 4 5
11. The instructor appeared to have a very good command of the subject matter. 1 2 3 4 5
12. The instructor was well prepared for each class. 1 2 3 4 5
13. The instructor was punctual. 1 2 3 4 5
14. The instructor rarely cancelled classes. 1 2 3 4 5
15. The instructor communicated clearly. 1 2 3 4 5
16. The instructor answered students' questions with clarity and precision. 1 2 3 4 5
17. The instructor asked thought-provoking questions. 1 2 3 4 5
18. The instructor was receptive to differences of opinion. 1 2 3 4 5
19. Class discussion was effective and useful. 1 2 3 4 5
20. The assigned text(s) and readings were valuable in contributing to learning in this course. 1 2 3 4 5
21. What is your overall rating of the instructor's ability to present a stimulating class?

Poor 1 2 Average 3 4 Excellent 5

22. Additional comments about the instructor and/or the course:

Charity is very passionate about the subject and it pays off in the course. If the presenters and professor weren't as passionate the course wouldn't work as well.

**COURSE/INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION
CREATIVE TECHNOLOGIES – STUDIO COURSES**

The purpose of this evaluation is to help instructors assess the effectiveness of their courses and to assist the Faculty of Media, Art, and Performance in making judgments and recommendations concerning career progress (eg. tenure, promotions, career growth and merit increments). The summary of and comments from these evaluations are examined by the instructor, Department Head, Dean, and Faculty Performance Review Committee.

The evaluation is anonymous and will only be made available to the instructor **after** grades have been assigned at the end of the course. If you are concerned that the instructor may recognize your handwriting, we suggest that you print your comments.

All questions are to be answered independently of consultation with others. Student comments prepared in advance of the in-class evaluation process may not be included or attached to the evaluation form.

INSTRUCTOR'S NAME: Charity Marsh DATE: April 6, 2018

COURSE NAME AND NUMBER CTCH 205 SECTION NUMBER _____

State the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. If the statement does not apply, leave the answer sheet blank.

	Disagree Strongly		3	4	Agree Strongly
	1	2			5
1. The course material was well planned and followed an orderly sequence.	1	2	3	4	5
2. The course objectives were clearly stated in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5
3. The actual course content and requirements corresponded to the description in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5
4. The method of grading the course was made clear.	1	2	3	4	5
5. The workload in this course was appropriate to its level.	1	2	3	4	5
6. The marking of papers, tests and assignments was just and equitable.	1	2	3	4	5
7. Assignments were marked and returned in reasonable time for a class of this size.	1	2	3	4	5
8. The instructor provided valuable written assessments of assignments.	1	2	3	4	5

9. The instructor was available for consultation outside of class time. 1 2 3 4 5
10. The instructor treated students with respect and without prejudice. 1 2 3 4 5
11. The instructor appeared to have a very good command of the subject matter. 1 2 3 4 5
12. The instructor was well prepared for each class. 1 2 3 4 5
13. The instructor was punctual. 1 2 3 4 5
14. The instructor rarely cancelled classes. 1 2 3 4 5
15. The instructor communicated clearly. 1 2 3 4 5
16. The instructor answered students' questions with clarity and precision. 1 2 3 4 5
17. The instructor asked thought-provoking questions. 1 2 3 4 5
18. The instructor was receptive to differences of opinion. 1 2 3 4 5
19. Class discussion was effective and useful. 1 2 3 4 5
20. The assigned text(s) and readings were valuable in contributing to learning in this course. 1 2 3 4 5
21. What is your overall rating of the instructor's ability to present a stimulating class?

Poor
1

2

Average
3

4

Excellent
5

22. Additional comments about the instructor and/or the course:

I liked learning about the history of hip hop because it is something that I have not known a lot about before. Having access to equipment for our projects was very helpful and I enjoyed being able to play around with making different music and beats. I would have liked to have a more extensive workshop for learning how to properly use the equipment because it was very hard to get a hang of.

**COURSE/INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION
CREATIVE TECHNOLOGIES – STUDIO COURSES**

The purpose of this evaluation is to help instructors assess the effectiveness of their courses and to assist the Faculty of Media, Art, and Performance in making judgments and recommendations concerning career progress (eg. tenure, promotions, career growth and merit increments). The summary of and comments from these evaluations are examined by the instructor, Department Head, Dean, and Faculty Performance Review Committee.

The evaluation is anonymous and will only be made available to the instructor **after** grades have been assigned at the end of the course. If you are concerned that the instructor may recognize your handwriting, we suggest that you print your comments.

All questions are to be answered independently of consultation with others. Student comments prepared in advance of the in-class evaluation process may not be included or attached to the evaluation form.

INSTRUCTOR'S NAME: prof. Charity DATE: April 6th, 2018
COURSE NAME AND NUMBER: CTS, 205 SECTION NUMBER: 007

State the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. If the statement does not apply, leave the answer sheet blank.

	Disagree Strongly		3	4	Agree Strongly
	1	2			5
1. The course material was well planned and followed an orderly sequence.	1	2	3	4	5
2. The course objectives were clearly stated in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5
3. The actual course content and requirements corresponded to the description in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5
4. The method of grading the course was made clear.	1	2	3	4	5
5. The workload in this course was appropriate to its level.	1	2	3	4	5
6. The marking of papers, tests and assignments was just and equitable.	1	2	3	4	5
7. Assignments were marked and returned in reasonable time for a class of this size.	1	2	3	4	5
8. The instructor provided valuable written assessments of assignments.	1	2	3	4	5



COURSE/INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION CREATIVE TECHNOLOGIES – STUDIO COURSES

The purpose of this evaluation is to help instructors assess the effectiveness of their courses and to assist the Faculty of Media, Art, and Performance in making judgments and recommendations concerning career progress (eg. tenure, promotions, career growth and merit increments). The summary of and comments from these evaluations are examined by the instructor, Department Head, Dean, and Faculty Performance Review Committee.

The evaluation is anonymous and will only be made available to the instructor **after** grades have been assigned at the end of the course. If you are concerned that the instructor may recognize your handwriting, we suggest that you print your comments.

All questions are to be answered independently of consultation with others. Student comments prepared in advance of the in-class evaluation process may not be included or attached to the evaluation form.

INSTRUCTOR'S NAME: Charity Marsh DATE: 06.04.18
COURSE NAME AND NUMBER: Hip hop CTECH 205 SECTION NUMBER: 001

State the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. If the statement does not apply, leave the answer sheet blank.

	Disagree Strongly		3	4	Agree Strongly
	1	2			
1. The course material was well planned and followed an orderly sequence.	1	2	3	4	5
2. The course objectives were clearly stated in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5
3. The actual course content and requirements corresponded to the description in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5
4. The method of grading the course was made clear.	1	2	3	4	5
5. The workload in this course was appropriate to its level.	1	2	3	4	5
6. The marking of papers, tests and assignments was just and equitable.	1	2	3	4	5
7. Assignments were marked and returned in reasonable time for a class of this size.	1	2	3	4	5
8. The instructor provided valuable written assessments of assignments.	1	2	3	4	5

- | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 9. The instructor was available for consultation outside of class time. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 10. The instructor treated students with respect and without prejudice. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 11. The instructor appeared to have a very good command of the subject matter. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 12. The instructor was well prepared for each class. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 13. The instructor was punctual. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 14. The instructor rarely cancelled classes. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 15. The instructor communicated clearly. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 16. The instructor answered students' questions with clarity and precision. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 17. The instructor asked thought-provoking questions. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 18. The instructor was receptive to differences of opinion. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 19. Class discussion was effective and useful. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 20. The assigned text(s) and readings were valuable in contributing to learning in this course. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 21. What is your overall rating of the instructor's ability to present a stimulating class? | | | | | |

Poor
1

2

Average
3

4

Excellent
5

22. Additional comments about the instructor and/or the course:

~~Love~~ As an exchange student this course was excellent.
 With new ways of looking at hip hop I ~~and~~ appreciated
 the ways the instructor helped us through hip hop
 over the course. More pop quizzes could be
 nice to ensure that people read the texts, but
 if I had had less courses I would've studied
 harder. Wish the IMP labs would have been
 open more often - ~~and~~ and that there
 had been more screenings.