



## COURSE/INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION CREATIVE TECHNOLOGIES – STUDIO COURSES

The purpose of this evaluation is to help instructors assess the effectiveness of their courses and to assist the Faculty of Media, Art, and Performance in making judgments and recommendations concerning career progress (eg. tenure, promotions, career growth and merit increments). The summary of and comments from these evaluations are examined by the instructor, Department Head, Dean, and Faculty Performance Review Committee.

The evaluation is anonymous and will only be made available to the instructor after grades have been assigned at the end of the course. If you are concerned that the instructor may recognize your handwriting, we suggest that you print your comments.

**All questions are to be answered independently of consultation with others. Student comments prepared in advance of the in-class evaluation process may not be included or attached to the evaluation form.**

INSTRUCTOR'S NAME: Charity Marsh DATE: NOV. 27/17  
COURSE NAME AND NUMBER: Ctch 320PA SECTION NUMBER: \_\_\_\_\_

State the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. If the statement does not apply, leave the answer sheet blank.

	Disagree Strongly		3	4	Agree Strongly
	1	2			5
1. The course material was well planned and followed an orderly sequence.	1	2	3	4	5
2. The course objectives were clearly stated in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5
3. The actual course content and requirements corresponded to the description in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5
4. The method of grading the course was made clear.	1	2	3	4	5
5. The workload in this course was appropriate to its level.	1	2	3	4	5
6. The marking of papers, tests and assignments was just and equitable.	1	2	3	4	5
7. Assignments were marked and returned in reasonable time for a class of this size.	1	2	3	4	5
8. The instructor provided valuable written assessments of assignments.	1	2	3	4	5





## COURSE/INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION CREATIVE TECHNOLOGIES – STUDIO COURSES

The purpose of this evaluation is to help instructors assess the effectiveness of their courses and to assist the Faculty of Media, Art, and Performance in making judgments and recommendations concerning career progress (eg. tenure, promotions, career growth and merit increments). The summary of and comments from these evaluations are examined by the instructor, Department Head, Dean, and Faculty Performance Review Committee.

The evaluation is anonymous and will only be made available to the instructor **after** grades have been assigned at the end of the course. If you are concerned that the instructor may recognize your handwriting, we suggest that you print your comments.

**All questions are to be answered independently of consultation with others. Student comments prepared in advance of the in-class evaluation process may not be included or attached to the evaluation form.**

INSTRUCTOR'S NAME: DR. CHARITY MARSH DATE: NOV. 27, 2017  
COURSE NAME AND NUMBER CTCH 320 AA SECTION NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_

State the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. If the statement does not apply, leave the answer sheet blank.

	Disagree				Agree
	Strongly				Strongly
	1	2	3	4	5
1. The course material was well planned and followed an orderly sequence.	1	2	3	4	5
2. The course objectives were clearly stated in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5
3. The actual course content and requirements corresponded to the description in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5
4. The method of grading the course was made clear.	1	2	3	4	5
5. The workload in this course was appropriate to its level.	1	2	3	4	5
6. The marking of papers, tests and assignments was just and equitable.	1	2	3	4	5
7. Assignments were marked and returned in reasonable time for a class of this size.	1	2	3	4	5
8. The instructor provided valuable written assessments of assignments.	1	2	3	4	5





## COURSE/INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION CREATIVE TECHNOLOGIES – STUDIO COURSES

The purpose of this evaluation is to help instructors assess the effectiveness of their courses and to assist the Faculty of Media, Art, and Performance in making judgments and recommendations concerning career progress (eg. tenure, promotions, career growth and merit increments). The summary of and comments from these evaluations are examined by the instructor, Department Head, Dean, and Faculty Performance Review Committee.

The evaluation is anonymous and will only be made available to the instructor **after** grades have been assigned at the end of the course. If you are concerned that the instructor may recognize your handwriting, we suggest that you print your comments.

**All questions are to be answered independently of consultation with others. Student comments prepared in advance of the in-class evaluation process may not be included or attached to the evaluation form.**

INSTRUCTOR'S NAME: Charity Marsh DATE: 11/27/17  
COURSE NAME AND NUMBER: CTCH 320AA SECTION NUMBER: 302AA

State the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. If the statement does not apply, leave the answer sheet blank.

	Disagree Strongly		3	4	Agree Strongly
	1	2			5
1. The course material was well planned and followed an orderly sequence.	1	2	3	4	5
2. The course objectives were clearly stated in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5
3. The actual course content and requirements corresponded to the description in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5
4. The method of grading the course was made clear.	1	2	3	4	5
5. The workload in this course was appropriate to its level.	1	2	3	4	5
6. The marking of papers, tests and assignments was just and equitable.	1	2	3	4	5
7. Assignments were marked and returned in reasonable time for a class of this size.	1	2	3	4	5
8. The instructor provided valuable written assessments of assignments.	1	2	3	4	5





**COURSE/INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION  
CREATIVE TECHNOLOGIES – STUDIO COURSES**

The purpose of this evaluation is to help instructors assess the effectiveness of their courses and to assist the Faculty of Media, Art, and Performance in making judgments and recommendations concerning career progress (eg. tenure, promotions, career growth and merit increments). The summary of and comments from these evaluations are examined by the instructor, Department Head, Dean, and Faculty Performance Review Committee.

The evaluation is anonymous and will only be made available to the instructor **after** grades have been assigned at the end of the course. If you are concerned that the instructor may recognize your handwriting, we suggest that you print your comments.

**All questions are to be answered independently of consultation with others. Student comments prepared in advance of the in-class evaluation process may not be included or attached to the evaluation form.**

INSTRUCTOR'S NAME: Charity Marsh DATE: Nov. 27 / 2017  
 COURSE NAME AND NUMBER CTCH 320AA SECTION NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_

State the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. If the statement does not apply, leave the answer sheet blank.

	Disagree				Agree
	Strongly				Strongly
	1	2	3	4	5
1. The course material was well planned and followed an orderly sequence.	1	2	3	4	5
2. The course objectives were clearly stated in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5
3. The actual course content and requirements corresponded to the description in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5
4. The method of grading the course was made clear.	1	2	3	4	5
5. The workload in this course was appropriate to its level.	1	2	3	4	5
6. The marking of papers, tests and assignments was just and equitable.	1	2	3	4	5
7. Assignments were marked and returned in reasonable time for a class of this size.	1	2	3	4	5
8. The instructor provided valuable written assessments of assignments.	1	2	3	4	5





**COURSE/INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION  
CREATIVE TECHNOLOGIES – STUDIO COURSES**

The purpose of this evaluation is to help instructors assess the effectiveness of their courses and to assist the Faculty of Media, Art, and Performance in making judgments and recommendations concerning career progress (eg. tenure, promotions, career growth and merit increments). The summary of and comments from these evaluations are examined by the instructor, Department Head, Dean, and Faculty Performance Review Committee.

The evaluation is anonymous and will only be made available to the instructor **after** grades have been assigned at the end of the course. If you are concerned that the instructor may recognize your handwriting, we suggest that you print your comments.

**All questions are to be answered independently of consultation with others. Student comments prepared in advance of the in-class evaluation process may not be included or attached to the evaluation form.**

INSTRUCTOR'S NAME: Charity Marsh DATE: Nov 27, 2017  
 COURSE NAME AND NUMBER: Creative Technol. Popular Music 320 HA SECTION NUMBER: \_\_\_\_\_

State the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. If the statement does not apply, leave the answer sheet blank.

	Disagree Strongly				Agree Strongly
	1	2	3	4	5
1. The course material was well planned and followed an orderly sequence.	1	2	3	4	5
2. The course objectives were clearly stated in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5
3. The actual course content and requirements corresponded to the description in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5
4. The method of grading the course was made clear.	1	2	3	4	5
5. The workload in this course was appropriate to its level.	1	2	3	4	5
6. The marking of papers, tests and assignments was just and equitable.	1	2	3	4	5
7. Assignments were marked and returned in reasonable time for a class of this size.	1	2	3	4	5
8. The instructor provided valuable written assessments of assignments.	1	2	3	4	5

- 9. The instructor was available for consultation outside of class time. 1 2 3 4 5
- 10. The instructor treated students with respect and without prejudice. 1 2 3 4 5
- 11. The instructor appeared to have a very good command of the subject matter. 1 2 3 4 5
- 12. The instructor was well prepared for each class. 1 2 3 4 5
- 13. The instructor was punctual. 1 2 3 4 5
- 14. The instructor rarely cancelled classes. 1 2 3 4 5
- 15. The instructor communicated clearly. 1 2 3 4 5
- 16. The instructor answered students' questions with clarity and precision. 1 2 3 4 5
- 17. The instructor asked thought-provoking questions. 1 2 3 4 5
- 18. The instructor was receptive to differences of opinion. 1 2 3 4 5
- 19. Class discussion was effective and useful. 1 2 3 4 5
- 20. The assigned text(s) and readings were valuable in contributing to learning in this course. 1 2 3 4 5
- 21. What is your overall rating of the instructor's ability to present a stimulating class?

Poor  
1

2

Average  
3

4

Excellent  
5

22. Additional comments about the instructor and/or the course:

*Great class with interesting content and a good prof.*

---



---



---



---



---



---



---



## COURSE/INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION CREATIVE TECHNOLOGIES – STUDIO COURSES

The purpose of this evaluation is to help instructors assess the effectiveness of their courses and to assist the Faculty of Media, Art, and Performance in making judgments and recommendations concerning career progress (eg. tenure, promotions, career growth and merit increments). The summary of and comments from these evaluations are examined by the instructor, Department Head, Dean, and Faculty Performance Review Committee.

The evaluation is anonymous and will only be made available to the instructor after grades have been assigned at the end of the course. If you are concerned that the instructor may recognize your handwriting, we suggest that you print your comments.

**All questions are to be answered independently of consultation with others. Student comments prepared in advance of the in-class evaluation process may not be included or attached to the evaluation form.**

INSTRUCTOR'S NAME: Charity Marsh DATE: Nov. 27, 2017  
COURSE NAME AND NUMBER: Pop. Music and Technologies CTCH 320AA SECTION NUMBER: \_\_\_\_\_

State the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. If the statement does not apply, leave the answer sheet blank.

	Disagree Strongly		3	4	Agree Strongly	
	1	2			5	
1. The course material was well planned and followed an orderly sequence.	1	2	3	4	5	
2. The course objectives were clearly stated in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5	
3. The actual course content and requirements corresponded to the description in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5	
4. The method of grading the course was made clear.	1	2	3	4	5	
5. The workload in this course was appropriate to its level.	1	2	3	4	5	
6. The marking of papers, tests and assignments was just and equitable.	1	2	3	4	5	
7. Assignments were marked and returned in reasonable time for a class of this size.	1	2	3	4	5	
8. The instructor provided valuable written assessments of assignments.	1	2	3	4	5	

9. The instructor was available for consultation outside of class time. 1 2 3 (4) 5
10. The instructor treated students with respect and without prejudice. (1) 2 3 4 5
11. The instructor appeared to have a very good command of the subject matter. 1 (2) 3 4 5
12. The instructor was well prepared for each class. 1 (2) 3 4 5
13. The instructor was punctual. 1 2 3 (4) 5
14. The instructor rarely cancelled classes. 1 2 (3) 4 5
15. The instructor communicated clearly. (1) 2 3 4 5
16. The instructor answered students' questions with clarity and precision. (1) 2 3 4 5
17. The instructor asked thought-provoking questions. (1) 2 3 4 5
18. The instructor was receptive to differences of opinion. (1) 2 3 4 5
19. Class discussion was effective and useful. 1 (2) 3 4 5
20. The assigned text(s) and readings were valuable in contributing to learning in this course. 1 2 (3) 4 5
21. What is your overall rating of the instructor's ability to present a stimulating class?

Poor  
1

(2)

Average  
3

4

Excellent  
5

22. Additional comments about the instructor and/or the course:

IF I would assign a requirement to see a performance of Little Miss Higgins, I would disclose my close friendship with her, if I had one. Charity Marsh did not. She also said my view of a Madonna video was "patriarchal" and dismissed it in front of the entire class. She also believed the gender wage gap exists even though it has been proven multiple times that it is a myth.

**COURSE/INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION  
CREATIVE TECHNOLOGIES – STUDIO COURSES**

The purpose of this evaluation is to help instructors assess the effectiveness of their courses and to assist the Faculty of Media, Art, and Performance in making judgments and recommendations concerning career progress (eg. tenure, promotions, career growth and merit increments). The summary of and comments from these evaluations are examined by the instructor, Department Head, Dean, and Faculty Performance Review Committee.

The evaluation is anonymous and will only be made available to the instructor **after** grades have been assigned at the end of the course. If you are concerned that the instructor may recognize your handwriting, we suggest that you print your comments.

**All questions are to be answered independently of consultation with others. Student comments prepared in advance of the in-class evaluation process may not be included or attached to the evaluation form.**

INSTRUCTOR'S NAME: Charity Marsh DATE: Nov 27 / 17  
COURSE NAME AND NUMBER: CTCH 320AA SECTION NUMBER: \_\_\_\_\_

State the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. If the statement does not apply, leave the answer sheet blank.

	Disagree Strongly		3	4	Agree Strongly
	1	2			5
1. The course material was well planned and followed an orderly sequence.	1	2	3	4	5
2. The course objectives were clearly stated in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5
3. The actual course content and requirements corresponded to the description in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5
4. The method of grading the course was made clear.	1	2	3	4	5
5. The workload in this course was appropriate to its level.	1	2	3	4	5
6. The marking of papers, tests and assignments was just and equitable.	1	2	3	4	5
7. Assignments were marked and returned in reasonable time for a class of this size.	1	2	3	4	5
8. The instructor provided valuable written assessments of assignments.	1	2	3	4	5







## COURSE/INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION CREATIVE TECHNOLOGIES – STUDIO COURSES

The purpose of this evaluation is to help instructors assess the effectiveness of their courses and to assist the Faculty of Media, Art, and Performance in making judgments and recommendations concerning career progress (eg. tenure, promotions, career growth and merit increments). The summary of and comments from these evaluations are examined by the instructor, Department Head, Dean, and Faculty Performance Review Committee.

The evaluation is anonymous and will only be made available to the instructor **after** grades have been assigned at the end of the course. If you are concerned that the instructor may recognize your handwriting, we suggest that you print your comments.

**All questions are to be answered independently of consultation with others. Student comments prepared in advance of the in-class evaluation process may not be included or attached to the evaluation form.**

INSTRUCTOR'S NAME: Charmity Marsh DATE: 11-27-17  
COURSE NAME AND NUMBER: CTCH 320 AA SECTION NUMBER: \_\_\_\_\_

State the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. If the statement does not apply, leave the answer sheet blank.

	Disagree Strongly				Agree Strongly
	① 1	2	3	4	⑤
1. The course material was well planned and followed an orderly sequence.	② 1	2	3	④ 4	5
2. The course objectives were clearly stated in the course outline.	③ 1	2	3	④ 4	5
3. The actual course content and requirements corresponded to the description in the course outline.	④ 1	2	3	④ 4	5
4. The method of grading the course was made clear.	⑤ 1	2	3	4	⑤ 5
5. The workload in this course was appropriate to its level.	⑥ 1	2	3	4	⑤ 5
6. The marking of papers, tests and assignments was just and equitable.	⑦ 1	2	3	④ 4	5
7. Assignments were marked and returned in reasonable time for a class of this size.	⑧ 1	2	3	4	⑤ 5
8. The instructor provided valuable written assessments of assignments.	1	2	3	4	5

- |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 9. The instructor was available for consultation outside of class time.                         | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 10. The instructor treated students with respect and without prejudice.                         | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 11. The instructor appeared to have a very good command of the subject matter.                  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 12. The instructor was well prepared for each class.  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 13. The instructor was punctual.  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 14. The instructor rarely cancelled classes.  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 15. The instructor communicated clearly.  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 16. The instructor answered students' questions with clarity and precision.                     | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 17. The instructor asked thought-provoking questions.   | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 18. The instructor was receptive to differences of opinion.                                     | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 19. Class discussion was effective and useful.  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 20. The assigned text(s) and readings were valuable in contributing to learning in this course. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 21. What is your overall rating of the instructor's ability to present a stimulating class?     |   |   |   |   |   |

Poor		Average		Excellent
1	2	3	4	5

22. Additional comments about the instructor and/or the course:

Enjoyed the comparison of black & white music, culture & ~~the~~ aesthetics.

Enjoyed the class overall.





## COURSE/INSTRUCTOR EVALUATION CREATIVE TECHNOLOGIES – STUDIO COURSES

The purpose of this evaluation is to help instructors assess the effectiveness of their courses and to assist the Faculty of Media, Art, and Performance in making judgments and recommendations concerning career progress (eg. tenure, promotions, career growth and merit increments). The summary of and comments from these evaluations are examined by the instructor, Department Head, Dean, and Faculty Performance Review Committee.

The evaluation is anonymous and will only be made available to the instructor **after** grades have been assigned at the end of the course. If you are concerned that the instructor may recognize your handwriting, we suggest that you print your comments.

**All questions are to be answered independently of consultation with others. Student comments prepared in advance of the in-class evaluation process may not be included or attached to the evaluation form.**

INSTRUCTOR'S NAME: Charity Marsh DATE: Nov 27  
COURSE NAME AND NUMBER CTCH 320AA SECTION NUMBER 001

State the extent to which you agree or disagree with each of the following statements. If the statement does not apply, leave the answer sheet blank.

	Disagree				Agree
	Strongly				Strongly
	1	2	3	4	5
1. The course material was well planned and followed an orderly sequence.	1	2	3	4	5
2. The course objectives were clearly stated in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5
3. The actual course content and requirements corresponded to the description in the course outline.	1	2	3	4	5
4. The method of grading the course was made clear.	1	2	3	4	5
5. The workload in this course was appropriate to its level.	1	2	3	4	5
6. The marking of papers, tests and assignments was just and equitable.	1	2	3	4	5
7. Assignments were marked and returned in reasonable time for a class of this size.	1	2	3	4	5
8. The instructor provided valuable written assessments of assignments.	1	2	3	4	5

- |   |      |   |         |   |           |
|---|------|---|---------|---|-----------|
| 9. The instructor was available for consultation outside of class time.                         | 1    | 2 | 3       | 4 | 5         |
| 10. The instructor treated students with respect and without prejudice.                         | 1    | 2 | 3       | 4 | 5         |
| 11. The instructor appeared to have a very good command of the subject matter.                  | 1    | 2 | 3       | 4 | 5         |
| 12. The instructor was well prepared for each class.  | 1    | 2 | 3       | 4 | 5         |
| 13. The instructor was punctual.  | 1    | 2 | 3       | 4 | 5         |
| 14. The instructor rarely cancelled classes.  | 1    | 2 | 3       | 4 | 5         |
| 15. The instructor communicated clearly.  | 1    | 2 | 3       | 4 | 5         |
| 16. The instructor answered students' questions with clarity and precision.                     | 1    | 2 | 3       | 4 | 5         |
| 17. The instructor asked thought-provoking questions.   | 1    | 2 | 3       | 4 | 5         |
| 18. The instructor was receptive to differences of opinion.                                     | 1    | 2 | 3       | 4 | 5         |
| 19. Class discussion was effective and useful.  | 1    | 2 | 3       | 4 | 5         |
| 20. The assigned text(s) and readings were valuable in contributing to learning in this course. | 1    | 2 | 3       | 4 | 5         |
| 21. What is your overall rating of the instructor's ability to present a stimulating class?     |      |   |         |   |           |
|   | Poor |   | Average |   | Excellent |
|   | 1    | 2 | 3       | 4 | 5         |

22. Additional comments about the instructor and/or the course:

I think that the concerts & events outside of class were excellent additions to the course and highly complementary with the content. I also appreciate the instructor working with me to change the concert I got to write about for an assignment.